

Ervin Middleton,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No.: 2:13-cv-1421-GMN-GWF
vs.	)	
	)	<b>ORDER</b>
Plus Four, Inc.,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Page 1 of 2

1 Accordingly,

2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 4), is  
3 **ADOPTED** in full.

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.  
5 The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and close the case.

6 **DATED** this 6th day of October, 2014.

7   
8 \_\_\_\_\_  
9 Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge  
10 United States District Court  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25